

THE INCLUSION-EXCLUSION PRINCIPLE FOR IF-STATES

L. C. CIUNGU AND B. RIEČAN

ABSTRACT. Applying two definitions of the union of IF-events, P. Grzegorzewski gave two generalizations of the inclusion-exclusion principle for IF-events. In this paper we prove an inclusion-exclusion principle for IF-states based on a method which can also be used to prove Grzegorzewski's inclusion-exclusion principle for probabilities on IF-events. Finally, we give some applications of this principle by extending some results regarding the classical probabilities to the case of the IF-states.

1. Introduction

In science as well as in decision making, the information available is always incomplete, and information processing deals with uncertainty information (fuzziness, randomness, vagueness, etc.). A generalized theory of uncertainty was developed in [17] and it was also studied in [18]. In recent years, many approaches of new probability models try to describe the uncertainty. L. Zadeh was the first to define the fuzzy events and to develop a probability theory for fuzzy events ([16]).

Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets (IFS), introduced and studied by Atanassov ([1], [2]), are an extension of fuzzy set theory in which not only a membership degree is given, but also a non-membership degree, which is more or less independent. Recently, the intuitionistic fuzzy sets have been applied to develop theories modelling imprecision and pattern recognition ([8]). Various concepts of probability on IFS have been proved to be very successful for minimizing the uncertainty of initial information which involves human judgement.

In [5] and [4] a general form of probabilities on IFS is given and a new representation theorem is proved for the so-called φ -probabilities including a large variety of special cases.

Using the additivity based on the Łukasiewicz connectives, in [13], B. Riečan gave an axiomatic characterization of a probability on IFS-events and proved in [14] a representation theorem for it.

Another approach of the probability on IF-events was defined in [6] using Gödel connectives. Applying two definitions of the union of IF-events, P. Grzegorzewski generalized in [7] the classical inclusion-exclusion principle for the case of IF-events. In this paper we prove an inclusion-exclusion principle for IF-states based on a

Received: November 2012; Revised: February 2013; Accepted: December 2013

Key words and phrases: IF-set, IF-event, IFS-probability, IF-state, Inclusion-exclusion principle, Boole inequality, Bonferroni inequality, Łukasiewicz connectives.

method which can also be used to prove Grzegorzewski's inclusion-exclusion principle for probabilities on IF-events. Finally, we give some applications of this principle by extending some results regarding the classical probabilities to the case of the IF-states.

2. Preliminaries

Let (Ω, \mathcal{S}, P) be a classical probability space, where Ω is a universe of discourse, \mathcal{S} is a σ -field of subsets of Ω and P is a probability measure over Ω .

An *IF-set* A in Ω is given by an ordered triple $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)) \mid x \in \Omega\}$, where $\mu_A, \nu_A : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfy the condition $\mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \leq 1$ for all $x \in \Omega$.

The functions μ_A and ν_A are respectively called the *membership* and the *non-membership* functions.

For every $x \in \Omega$, let $\theta_A(x) = 1 - \mu_A(x) - \nu_A(x)$.

Then θ determines the *degree of uncertainty* and $\theta_A(x)$ is called *IF-index* of x in A . An *IF-event* A is defined as an IF-subset of Ω such that μ_A, ν_A are Borel measurable.

We will denote by $IFS(\Omega)$ the family of all IF-sets in Ω and by \mathcal{F} the family of all IF-events. We also define:

$$0_\Omega = \{(x, 0, 1) \mid x \in \Omega\}, 1_\Omega = \{(x, 1, 0) \mid x \in \Omega\}.$$

Since an IF-event A is well defined by the functions μ_A, ν_A , we will use the notation $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$. Therefore $0_\Omega = (0, 1)$ and $1_\Omega = (1, 0)$.

The basic operations on the IF-events A, B are defined by Atanassov in [1] and [2] as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A \subseteq B & \text{ iff } \mu_A \leq \mu_B \text{ and } \nu_A \geq \nu_B \\ A = B & \text{ iff } \mu_A = \mu_B \text{ and } \nu_A = \nu_B \\ A \cup B & = (\mu_A \vee \mu_B, \nu_A \wedge \nu_B) \\ A \cap B & = (\mu_A \wedge \mu_B, \nu_A \vee \nu_B) \\ A \oplus B & = (\mu_A + \mu_B - \mu_A \mu_B, \nu_A \nu_B) \\ A \odot B & = (\mu_A \mu_B, \nu_A + \nu_B - \nu_A \nu_B) \\ A^c & = (\nu_A, \mu_A). \end{aligned}$$

The following operations were used by Riečan in [12]-[13]:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_A, \nu_A) \oplus_L (\mu_B, \nu_B) & = (\mu_A \oplus_L \mu_B, \nu_A \odot_L \nu_B) \\ (\mu_A, \nu_A) \odot_L (\mu_B, \nu_B) & = (\mu_A \odot_L \mu_B, \nu_A \oplus_L \nu_B), \end{aligned}$$

where $x \oplus_L y = (x + y) \wedge 1$, $x \odot_L y = (x + y - 1) \vee 0$ are the Łukasiewicz connectives.

The classical inclusion-exclusion principle for measures states that the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) & = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i) - \sum_{i < j} \mu(A_i \cap A_j) + \sum_{i < j < k} \mu(A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k) - \dots \\ & \quad + (-1)^{n+1} \mu(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_n) \end{aligned}$$

holds for any sequence $(A_i)_{i=1}^n$ from the domain of a measure μ .

Grzegorzewski defined in [7] the probability of the IF-event A to be a number in the interval $\mathcal{P}(A) = [\mathcal{P}^b(A), \mathcal{P}^\sharp(A)]$ with $\mathcal{P}^b(A) = \int_{\Omega} \mu_A dP$, $\mathcal{P}^\sharp(A) = 1 - \int_{\Omega} \nu_A dP$. If $\theta_A = 0$, then $\mathcal{P}^b(A) = \mathcal{P}^\sharp(A)$ and the probability of the IF-event A reduces to the probability of a fuzzy set in the sense of Zadeh's definition ([16]).

Based on this concept of probability on IF-events, Grzegorzewski proved two versions of the inclusion-exclusion principle ([7]).

In [10], Kuková and Navara investigated the forms of the inclusion-exclusion principle that hold for different types of fuzzy set operations. They proved that the only continuous fuzzy operations which satisfy the inclusion-exclusion principle are the Gödel ones, the product operations and some of their ordinal sums.

The notion of an L -state have been introduced in [15] for the case of the pair of operations (\oplus_L, \odot_L) and it was proved in [14] that for an L -state $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$, there exists $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ such that $m(A) = (1 - \alpha) \int_{\Omega} \mu_A dP + \alpha(1 - \int_{\Omega} \nu_A dP)$.

In the same manner we define the notion of an IF-state for the case of the pair of operations (\oplus, \odot) .

If $A_n = (\mu_{A_n}, \nu_{A_n})$ and $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$ we will write $A_n \nearrow A$ if $\mu_{A_n}(\omega) \nearrow \mu_A(\omega)$ and $\nu_{A_n}(\omega) \searrow \nu_A(\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$.

Definition 2.1. A mapping $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is an *IF-state*, if the following properties are satisfied:

- (1) $m(1_{\Omega}) = 1$, $m(0_{\Omega}) = 0$;
- (2) $m(A \oplus B) = m(A) + m(B) - m(A \odot B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$;
- (3) $A_n \nearrow A$ implies $m(A_n) \nearrow m(A)$.

The next result can be proved in a similar way as in [14].

Proposition 2.2. Let $\mathcal{P}(A) = [\mathcal{P}^b(A), \mathcal{P}^\sharp(A)]$ be the Grzegorzewski's probability where $\mathcal{P}^b(A) = \int_{\Omega} \mu_A dP$ and $\mathcal{P}^\sharp(A) = 1 - \int_{\Omega} \nu_A dP$. If $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, then the mapping $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by $m(A) = (1 - \alpha)\mathcal{P}^b(A) + \alpha\mathcal{P}^\sharp(A)$ is an IF-state.

Theorem 2.3. [9] Let A_i be IF-events, $A_i = (\mu_{A_i}, \nu_{A_i})$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ and let m be an IF-state such that $m(A) = (1 - \alpha) \int_{\Omega} \mu_A dP + \alpha(1 - \int_{\Omega} \nu_A dP)$. Then m satisfies the inclusion-exclusion principle:

$$m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n m(A_i) - \sum_{i<j} m(A_i \cap A_j) + \sum_{i<j<k} m(A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k) - \dots + (-1)^{n+1} m\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i\right).$$

3. Inclusion-exclusion Principle for IF-states

In this section we prove an inclusion-exclusion principle for IF-states based on a method which can also be used to prove Grzegorzewski's inclusion-exclusion principle for probabilities on IF-events.

For the IF-events $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$ and $B = (\mu_B, \nu_B)$ we will use the notations:

$$\begin{aligned} A + B &= (\mu_A + \mu_B, \nu_A + \nu_B), \quad A - B = (\mu_A - \mu_B, \nu_A - \nu_B), \\ \alpha A &= (\alpha\mu_A, \alpha\nu_A), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that \oplus is defined between two IF-events, but, in general, $\sum_{i=1}^n A_i$ is not an IF-event. The same mention for $A - B$ and αA .

Lemma 3.1. Let A_i, B be IF-events, $A_i = (\mu_{A_i}, \nu_{A_i})$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, $B = (\mu_B, \nu_B)$. Then:

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \oplus B = \sum_{i=1}^n (A_i \oplus B) - (n-1)(\mu_B, 0).$$

Proof. For $n = 1$ it is obvious.

If $n = 2$, then we have:

$$(A_1 + A_2) \oplus B = (\mu_{A_1} + \mu_{A_2}, \nu_{A_1} + \nu_{A_2}) \oplus (\mu_B, \nu_B) = (\mu_{A_1} + \mu_{A_2} + \mu_B - \mu_{A_1}\mu_B - \mu_{A_2}\mu_B, \nu_{A_1}\nu_B + \nu_{A_2}\nu_B).$$

$$A_1 \oplus B + A_2 \oplus B = (\mu_{A_1} + \mu_B - \mu_{A_1}\mu_B, \nu_{A_1}\nu_B) + (\mu_{A_2} + \mu_B - \mu_{A_2}\mu_B, \nu_{A_2}\nu_B) = (\mu_{A_1} + \mu_{A_2} + 2\mu_B - \mu_{A_1}\mu_B - \mu_{A_2}\mu_B, \nu_{A_1}\nu_B + \nu_{A_2}\nu_B) = (A_1 + A_2) \oplus B + (\mu_B, 0).$$

It follows that $(A_1 + A_2) \oplus B = A_1 \oplus B + A_2 \oplus B - (\mu_B, 0)$.

Assume $(\sum_{i=1}^n A_i) \oplus B = \sum_{i=1}^n (A_i \oplus B) - (n-1)(\mu_B, 0)$ and we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} A_i) \oplus B &= (\sum_{i=1}^n A_i + A_{n+1}) \oplus B = (\sum_{i=1}^n A_i) \oplus B + A_{n+1} \oplus B - (\mu_B, 0) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (A_i \oplus B) - (n-1)(\mu_B, 0) + A_{n+1} \oplus B - (\mu_B, 0) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (A_i \oplus B) - n(\mu_B, 0), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the assertion, according to the induction principle. \square

Lemma 3.2. Let A_i, B be IF-events, $A_i = (\mu_{A_i}, \nu_{A_i})$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, $B = (\mu_B, \nu_B)$.

(1) If $n = 2k$, then

$$(A_1 - A_2 + A_3 - A_4 + \dots - A_{2k}) \oplus B = A_1 \oplus B - A_2 \oplus B + \dots - A_{2k} \oplus B + (\mu_B, 0);$$

(2) If $n = 2k + 1$, then

$$(A_1 - A_2 + A_3 - A_4 + \dots - A_{2k} + A_{2k+1}) \oplus B = A_1 \oplus B - A_2 \oplus B + \dots - A_{2k} \oplus B + A_{2k+1} \oplus B.$$

Proof. The proof can be done by induction similarly as in Lemma 3.1. \square

Theorem 3.3. Let A_i be IF-events, $A_i = (\mu_{A_i}, \nu_{A_i})$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then:

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_i = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i - \sum_{i < j} (A_i \odot A_j) + \dots + (-1)^{n+1} \bigodot_{i=1}^n A_i.$$

Proof. If $n = 1$, it is obvious.

For $n = 2$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 + A_2 - A_1 \odot A_2 &= (\mu_{A_1}, \nu_{A_1}) + (\mu_{A_2}, \nu_{A_2}) - (\mu_{A_1}\mu_{A_2}, \nu_{A_1} + \nu_{A_2} - \nu_{A_1}\nu_{A_2}) \\ &= (\mu_{A_1} + \mu_{A_2} - \mu_{A_1}\mu_{A_2}, \nu_{A_1} + \nu_{A_2} - \nu_{A_1} - \nu_{A_2} + \nu_{A_1}\nu_{A_2}) \\ &= (\mu_{A_1} + \mu_{A_2} - \mu_{A_1}\mu_{A_2}, \nu_{A_1}\nu_{A_2}) = A_1 \oplus A_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $A_1 \oplus A_2 = A_1 + A_2 - A_1 \odot A_2$.

Suppose $n = 2m$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2m} A_i &= \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{2m-1} A_i\right) \oplus A_{2m} = \left(\sum_{i_1=1}^{2m-1} A_{i_1} - \sum_{i_1 < i_2}^{2m-1} A_{i_1} \odot A_{i_2}\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{2m-1} A_{i_1} \odot A_{i_2} \odot A_{i_3} - \dots + A_1 \odot A_2 \odot \dots \odot A_{2m-1}\right) \oplus A_{2m} \\ &= \left(\sum_{i_1=1}^{2m-1} A_{i_1}\right) \oplus A_{2m} - \left(\sum_{i_1 < i_2}^{2m-1} A_{i_1} \odot A_{i_2}\right) \oplus A_{2m} \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{2m-1} A_{i_1} \odot A_{i_2} \odot A_{i_3}\right) \oplus A_{2m} - \dots + (A_1 \odot A_2 \odot \dots \odot A_{2m-1}) \oplus A_{2m} \\ &= \sum_{i_1=1}^{2m-1} (A_{i_1} \oplus A_{2m}) - \left(\binom{2m-1}{1} - 1\right)(\mu_{A_{2m}}, 0) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{i_1 < i_2}^{2m-1} (A_{i_1} \odot A_{i_2}) \oplus A_{2m} + \left(\binom{2m-1}{2} - 1 \right) (\mu_{A_{2m}}, 0) \\
& + \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{2m-1} (A_{i_1} \odot A_{i_2} \odot A_{i_3}) \oplus A_{2m} - \left(\binom{2m-1}{3} - 1 \right) (\mu_{A_{2m}}, 0) \\
& \vdots \\
& - \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{2m-2}}^{2m-1} (A_{i_1} \odot A_{i_2} \odot \dots \odot A_{i_{2m-2}}) \oplus A_{2m} + \left(\binom{2m-1}{2m-2} - 1 \right) (\mu_{A_{2m}}, 0) \\
& + (A_1 \odot A_2 \odot \dots \odot A_{2m-1}) \oplus A_{2m} \\
& = \sum_{i_1=1}^{2m-1} (A_{i_1} + A_{2m} - A_{i_1} \odot A_{2m}) - \left(\binom{2m-1}{1} - 1 \right) (\mu_{A_{2m}}, 0) \\
& - \sum_{i_1 < i_2}^{2m-1} (A_{i_1} \odot A_{i_2} + A_{2m} - A_{i_1} \odot A_{i_2} \odot A_{2m}) + \left(\binom{2m-1}{2} - 1 \right) (\mu_{A_{2m}}, 0) \\
& + \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{2m-1} (A_{i_1} \odot A_{i_2} \odot A_{i_3} + A_{2m} - A_{i_1} \odot A_{i_2} \odot A_{i_3} \odot A_{2m}) \\
& - \left(\binom{2m-1}{3} - 1 \right) (\mu_{A_{2m}}, 0) \\
& \vdots \\
& - \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{2m-2}}^{2m-1} (A_{i_1} \odot A_{i_2} \odot \dots \odot A_{i_{2m-2}} + A_{2m} \\
& - A_{i_1} \odot A_{i_2} \odot \dots \odot A_{i_{2m-2}} \odot A_{2m}) + \left(\binom{2m-1}{2m-2} - 1 \right) (\mu_{A_{2m}}, 0) \\
& + A_1 \odot A_2 \odot \dots \odot A_{2m-1} + A_{2m} - A_1 \odot A_2 \odot \dots \odot A_{2m-1} \odot A_{2m} \\
& = \sum_{i_1=1}^{2m-1} A_{i_1} - \sum_{i_1 < i_2}^{2m} A_{i_1} \odot A_{i_2} + \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{2m} A_{i_1} \odot A_{i_2} \odot A_{i_3} - \dots \\
& + \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{2m-1}}^{2m} A_{i_1} \odot A_{i_2} \odot \dots \odot A_{i_{2m-1}} - A_1 \odot A_2 \odot \dots \odot A_{2m-1} \odot A_{2m} \\
& + \left[\binom{2m-1}{1} - \binom{2m-1}{2} + \binom{2m-1}{3} - \dots - \binom{2m-1}{2m-2} + 1 \right] A_{2m} \\
& - \left[\binom{2m-1}{1} - \binom{2m-1}{2} + \binom{2m-1}{3} - \dots - \binom{2m-1}{2m-2} \right] (\mu_{A_{2m}}, 0) \\
& = \sum_{i_1=1}^{2m} A_{i_1} - \sum_{i_1 < i_2}^{2m} A_{i_1} \odot A_{i_2} + \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{2m} A_{i_1} \odot A_{i_2} \odot A_{i_3} - \dots \\
& + \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{2m-1}}^{2m} A_{i_1} \odot A_{i_2} \odot \dots \odot A_{i_{2m-1}} - A_1 \odot A_2 \odot \dots \odot A_{2m-1} \odot A_{2m} \\
& - \left[1 - \binom{2m-1}{1} + \binom{2m-1}{2} - \binom{2m-1}{3} + \dots + \binom{2m-1}{2m-2} \right] \\
& - \left(\binom{2m-1}{2m-1} \right) A_{2m} + \left[1 - \binom{2m-1}{1} + \binom{2m-1}{2} - \binom{2m-1}{3} + \dots + \binom{2m-1}{2m-2} \right] \\
& - \left(\binom{2m-1}{2m-1} \right) (\mu_{A_{2m}}, 0) \\
& = \sum_{i_1=1}^{2m} A_{i_1} - \sum_{i_1 < i_2}^{2m} A_{i_1} \odot A_{i_2} + \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{2m} A_{i_1} \odot A_{i_2} \odot A_{i_3} - \dots \\
& + \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{2m-1}}^{2m} A_{i_1} \odot A_{i_2} \odot \dots \odot A_{i_{2m-1}} - A_1 \odot A_2 \odot \dots \odot A_{2m-1} \odot A_{2m} \\
& - (1-1)^{2m-1} A_{2m} + (1-1)^{2m-1} (\mu_{A_{2m}}, 0) \\
& = \sum_{i_1=1}^{2m} A_{i_1} - \sum_{i_1 < i_2}^{2m} A_{i_1} \odot A_{i_2} + \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{2m} A_{i_1} \odot A_{i_2} \odot A_{i_3} - \dots \\
& + \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{2m-1}}^{2m} A_{i_1} \odot A_{i_2} \odot \dots \odot A_{i_{2m-1}} - A_1 \odot A_2 \odot \dots \odot A_{2m-1} \odot A_{2m}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $n = 2m + 1$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2m+1} A_i &= \sum_{i_1=1}^{2m+1} A_{i_1} - \sum_{i_1 < i_2}^{2m+1} A_{i_1} \odot A_{i_2} + \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{2m+1} A_{i_1} \odot A_{i_2} \odot A_{i_3} - \cdots \\ &- \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{2m}}^{2m+1} A_{i_1} \odot A_{i_2} \odot \cdots \odot A_{i_{2m}} + A_1 \odot A_2 \odot \cdots \odot A_{2m} \odot A_{2m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_i = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i - \sum_{i < j}^n (A_i \odot A_j) + \cdots + (-1)^{n+1} \bigodot_{i=1}^n A_i. \quad \square$$

Corollary 3.4. *Let A_i be IF-events, $A_i = (\mu_{A_i}, \nu_{A_i})$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.*

If $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_i$, then:

$$\mu_A = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_{A_i} - \sum_{i < j}^n \mu_{A_i} \odot \mu_{A_j} + \cdots + (-1)^{n+1} \mu_{A_1} \odot \mu_{A_2} \odot \cdots \odot \mu_{A_n}$$

$$\nu_A = \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_{A_i} - \sum_{i < j}^n \nu_{A_i} \oplus \nu_{A_j} + \cdots + (-1)^{n+1} \nu_{A_1} \oplus \nu_{A_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \nu_{A_n},$$

where $x \oplus y = x + y - xy$ and $x \odot y = xy$ for all $x, y \in [0, 1]$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let A_i be IF-events, $A_i = (\mu_{A_i}, \nu_{A_i})$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ and let $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be an IF-state such that $m(A) = (1 - \alpha) \int_{\Omega} \mu_A dP + \alpha(1 - \int_{\Omega} \nu_A dP)$.*

Then m satisfies the inclusion-exclusion principle:

$$m\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n m(A_i) - \sum_{i < j}^n m(A_i \odot A_j) + \cdots + (-1)^{n+1} m\left(\bigodot_{i=1}^n A_i\right).$$

Proof. Since $m(A) = \int_{\Omega} \mu_A dP + \alpha(1 - \int_{\Omega} (\mu_A + \nu_A) dP)$, we have:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n m(A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \mu_{A_i} dP + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha(1 - \int_{\Omega} (\mu_{A_i} + \nu_{A_i}) dP)$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} (\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_{A_i}) dP + \alpha \left(\binom{n}{1} - \int_{\Omega} (\sum_{i=1}^n (\mu_{A_i} + \nu_{A_i})) dP \right).$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_{i < j} m(A_i \odot A_j) = \int_{\Omega} (\sum_{i < j} \mu_{A_i} \odot \mu_{A_j}) dP$$

$$+ \alpha \left(\binom{n}{2} - \int_{\Omega} (\sum_{i < j} (\mu_{A_i} \odot \mu_{A_j} + \nu_{A_i} \oplus \nu_{A_j})) dP \right),$$

$$\sum_{i < j < k} m(A_i \odot A_j \odot A_k) = \int_{\Omega} (\sum_{i < j < k} \mu_{A_i} \odot \mu_{A_j} \odot \mu_{A_k}) dP$$

$$+ \alpha \left(\binom{n}{3} - \int_{\Omega} (\sum_{i < j < k} (\mu_{A_i} \odot \mu_{A_j} \odot \mu_{A_k} + \nu_{A_i} \oplus \nu_{A_j} \oplus \nu_{A_k})) dP \right),$$

\vdots

$$m(A_1 \odot A_2 \odot \cdots \odot A_n) = \int_{\Omega} (\mu_{A_1} \odot \mu_{A_2} \odot \cdots \odot \mu_{A_n}) dP$$

$$+ \alpha \left(\binom{n}{n} - \int_{\Omega} (\mu_{A_1} \odot \mu_{A_2} \odot \cdots \odot \mu_{A_n} + \nu_{A_1} \oplus \nu_{A_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \nu_{A_n}) dP \right).$$

Put $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_i$ and sum the previous equalities:

$$\sum_i m(A_i) - \sum_{i < j} m(A_i \odot A_j) + \sum_{i < j < k} m(A_i \odot A_j \odot A_k) - \cdots$$

$$+ (-1)^{n+1} m(A_1 \odot A_2 \odot \cdots \odot A_n) = \int_{\Omega} (\sum_i \mu_{A_i} - \sum_{i < k} \mu_{A_i} \odot \mu_{A_j} + \cdots$$

$$+ (-1)^{n+1} \mu_{A_1} \odot \cdots \odot \mu_{A_n}) dP + \alpha \left(\binom{n}{1} - \binom{n}{2} + \cdots + (-1)^{n+1} \binom{n}{n} \right)$$

$$- \alpha \int_{\Omega} (\sum_i \mu_{A_i} - \sum_{i < k} \mu_{A_i} \odot \mu_{A_j} + \cdots + (-1)^{n+1} \mu_{A_1} \odot \cdots \odot \mu_{A_n}) dP$$

$$- \alpha \int_{\Omega} (\sum_i \nu_{A_i} - \sum_{i < k} \nu_{A_i} \oplus \nu_{A_j} + \cdots + (-1)^{n+1} \nu_{A_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \nu_{A_n}) dP$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \mu_A dP + \alpha(1 - (1-1)^{n+1}) - \alpha \int_{\Omega} \mu_A dP - \alpha \int_{\Omega} \nu_A dP$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \mu_A dP + \alpha(1 - \int_{\Omega} (\mu_A + \nu_A) dP) = m(A). \quad \square$$

Remark 3.6. Similarly as in Theorem 3.5, we can prove the Grzegorzewski's inclusion-exclusion principle for the probability $\mathcal{P}(A) = [\mathcal{P}^b(A), \mathcal{P}^\sharp(A)]$, where $\mathcal{P}^b(A) = \int_{\Omega} \mu_A dP$ and $\mathcal{P}^\sharp(A) = 1 - \int_{\Omega} \nu_A dP$ (see [7]):

If we denote $T_k^{(n)} = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \mathcal{P}(A_{i_1} \oplus A_{i_2} \oplus \dots \oplus A_{i_k})$, then the probability $\mathcal{P}(\bigoplus_{k=1}^n A_k)$ is obtained as a solution of the equation

$$\mathcal{P}\left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^n A_k\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{n/2} T_{2k}^{(n)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n/2} T_{2k-1}^{(n)}$$

if n is even or a solution of the equation

$$\mathcal{P}\left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^n A_k\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{(n+1)/2-1} T_{2k}^{(n)} = \sum_{k=1}^{(n+1)/2} T_{2k-1}^{(n)}$$

if n is odd.

4. Applications

As applications of inclusion-exclusion principle, we extend the Boole and Bonferroni inequalities from classical probabilities (see for example [11]) to the case of IF-states.

These results can be easily proved by induction.

Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be n IF-events ($n \geq 1$) and let $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be an IF-state such that $m(A) = (1 - \alpha) \int_{\Omega} \mu_A dP + \alpha(1 - \int_{\Omega} \nu_A dP)$.

Proposition 4.1. (*Boole inequalities*) *The following hold:*

- (1) $m(\bigoplus_{k=1}^n A_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n m(A_k)$;
- (2) $m(\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n m(A_k)$.

Proposition 4.2. (*Bonferroni inequalities*) *The following hold:*

- (1) $m(\bigodot_{k=1}^n A_k) \geq \sum_{k=1}^n m(A_k) - n + 1$;
- (2) $m(\bigcap_{k=1}^n A_k) \geq \sum_{k=1}^n m(A_k) - n + 1$.

5. Conclusions

(1) P. Grzegorzewski proved in [7], [6] two versions of the inclusion-exclusion principle for probability on IF-events based on the pairs of operations (\cup, \cap) and (\oplus, \odot) :

$$A \cup B = (\mu_A \vee \mu_B, \nu_A \wedge \nu_B), \quad A \cap B = (\mu_A \wedge \mu_B, \nu_A \vee \nu_B)$$

$$A \oplus B = (\mu_A + \mu_B - \mu_A \mu_B, \nu_A \nu_B), \quad A \odot B = (\mu_A \mu_B, \nu_A + \nu_B - \nu_A \nu_B),$$

assuming that:

$$\mathcal{P}(A) = [\mathcal{P}^b(A), \mathcal{P}^\sharp(A)], \quad \text{with } \mathcal{P}^b(A) = \int_{\Omega} \mu_A dP, \quad \mathcal{P}^\sharp(A) = 1 - \int_{\Omega} \nu_A dP.$$

In this paper we proved an inclusion-exclusion principle for an IF-state $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $m(A) = (1 - \alpha) \int_{\Omega} \mu_A dP + \alpha(1 - \int_{\Omega} \nu_A dP)$, for the case of the pair of operations (\oplus, \odot) . We presented a new method which can also be used to prove Grzegorzewski's inclusion-exclusion principle for probabilities on IF-events.

(2) For the case of the pair (\cup, \cap) of operations on IF-events, it was proved in [9] the inclusion-exclusion principle for an IF-state $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

$$m(A) = \int_{\Omega} \mu_A dP + \alpha(1 - \int_{\Omega} (\mu_A + \nu_A) dQ).$$

Similarly as in Theorem 3.5, we can prove the inclusion-exclusion principle for the above IF-state and the pair (\oplus, \odot) of operations on IF-events.

(3) K. Atanassov and B. Riečan introduced two new operations over intuitionistic fuzzy sets ([3]) which are analogue to the operations "subtraction" and "division". One can try to investigate properties of the probability on IF-events based on these operations.

Acknowledgements. For the second author this research has been supported by the grant VEGA 1/0621/11.

The authors are very grateful to the referees for their valuable suggestions which improved the presentation of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Atanassov, *Intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **20(1)** (1986), 87-96.
- [2] K. Atanassov, *Intuitionistic fuzzy sets: theory and applications*, Physica Verlag, New York, (1999).
- [3] K. Atanassov and B. Riečan, *On two operations over intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, Journal of Applied Mathematics, Statistics and Informatics, **2(2)** (2006), 145-148.
- [4] L. C. Ciungu and B. Riečan, *General form of probabilities on IF-Sets*, In: Proc. WILF 2009, Palermo, Italy. Lecture Notes in Computer Science 5571, Springer, Berlin, (2009), 101-107.
- [5] L. C. Ciungu and B. Riečan, *Representation theorem for probabilities on IFS-events*, Information Sciences, **180(5)** (2010), 793-798.
- [6] P. Grzegorzewski and E. Mrówka, *Probability of intuitionistic fuzzy events*, In: Soft Methods in Probability, Statistics and Data Analysis (P. Grzegorzewski et al., eds.) Physica Verlag, New York, (2002), 105-115.
- [7] P. Grzegorzewski, *The inclusion-exclusion principle on IF-events*, Information Sciences, **181(3)** (2011), 536-546.
- [8] W. L. Hung and M. S. Yang, *On the J-divergence of intuitionistic fuzzy sets with its application to pattern recognition*, Information Sciences, **178(6)** (2008), 1641-1650.
- [9] M. Kuková, *The inclusion-exclusion principle for L-states and IF-events*, Information Sciences, **224(1)** (2013), 165-169.
- [10] M. Kuková and M. Navara, *Principles of inclusion and exclusion for fuzzy sets*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2013.02.014>, 2013.
- [11] J. Pitman, *Probability*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [12] B. Riečan, *A descriptive definition of the probability on intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, In: Proc. EUSFLAT'2003 (Wagenknecht, M. and Hampel, R., eds.), Zittau-Goerlitz Univ. Appl. Sci., Dordrecht, (2003), 263-266.
- [13] B. Riečan, *Representation of probabilities on IFS events*, Advanced in Soft Computing, Soft Methodology and Random Information Systems (M. López et. al., eds) Springer, Berlin, (2004), 243-246.
- [14] B. Riečan, *On a problem of Radko Mesiar: general form of IF probabilities*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **152(11)** (2006), 1485-1490.
- [15] B. Riečan, *M-probability theory on IF-events*, In: Proc. EUSFLAT'2007 (M. Štěpnička, V. Novák, and U. Bodenhofer eds.), Universitas Ostraviensis, (2007), 227-230.
- [16] L. A. Zadeh, *Probability measures of fuzzy events*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, **23(2)** (1968), 421-427.
- [17] L. A. Zadeh, *Toward a generalized theory of uncertainty (GTU) - an outline*, Information Sciences, **172(1-2)** (2005), 1-40.
- [18] L. A. Zadeh, *Is there a need for fuzzy logic?*, Information Sciences, **178(13)** (2008), 2751-2779.

L. C. CIUNGU*, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF IOWA, 14 MACLEAN HALL,
IOWA CITY, IOWA 52242-1419, USA

E-mail address: `lavinia-ciungu@uiowa.edu;lccciungu@yahoo.com`

B. RIEČAN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF NATURAL SCIENCES, MATEJ BEL
UNIVERSITY, TAJOVSKÉHO 40, BANSKÁ BYSTRICA, SLOVAKIA

E-mail address: `beloslav.riecan@umb.sk`

*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR